O.F. 71.

19

Department of Revenue

OFFICE

STATION Darka

No. 2343

Dated 9

3578/

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT.)

Land acquisition - Mauza Kadeir Village

Collection of Land Revenue Estimated

Papers are Pended.

A3061

Urgent.
Ref. A 194-37-B3.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, 26th May 1938.



Sri Rao Bahadur M.V.Vellodi, B.A.,
Collector of South Kanara.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue and Settlement, Madras.

Sir,

SUITS - Land Acquisition - Mangalore Taluk - Kadri village - Collector's Bungalow with compound - additional compensation - sanction - sought -

Refce:- B.P.Mis.1421 L.R.& Sett. dated 19.5.38.

I request the favour of Board's early orders for payment of the amount referred to in paragraph 1 of my letter Refce. 194-37-B3 dated 11.4.1938 read in the B.P. referred to above. The decreed amount has to be deposited into court with interest at 6% per annum from 18.3.36 till date of deposit on or before 21st May 1938.

For Collector.

Copy to the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore.

26-5-38

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay. Rs. As. Office Stamp

Handed in at (Office of Origin). Date. Hour. Minute. Service Instructions. Words.

Recd. here at H. M.

To

Please expedite orders my ref 194 of 1937 dated 11th April 1938 payment Court excess award collectors bungalow = collector =

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Lal Chand & Sons, Printers, Calcutta—No. 249 (B-44)—2-7-35—1,00,000 Blks.

I solicit the favour of Board's early orders on my letter Ref. B3-194-37 dated 26-5-38.

The Sub Court will reopen on 20-6-1938.

For Collector.

From

B. TARAMA RAO, Esq
VOCATE

Bankal, S Kan
SHANTHI BHVAN, BRODIES ROAD,
Mylapore, 16 6 193
R. P176



To

The Secretary,
Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir,

Re Nanjalur Collector's Bungalow
Land Acqn. Case. Appl. Compensation
Stay of execution

The proper procedure
to apply for stay of execution under
Order 41 r 5 C.P.C at the time of
filing the appeal or of the other
side is likely to apply to stay apply
for execution earlier, to apply to

[illegible] Court [illegible] under Order 41 r.
[illegible] for stay or take time to [illegible]
Court to obtain stay from the High
Court

The application is to be
supported by an affidavit setting
forth the reasons for the application
for stay. [illegible] shall
accordingly [illegible] time. If
[illegible] the necessary
application [illegible] the reasons [illegible]
[illegible]

C.F. 16

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date:	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..	17.6	MA
When copied ..	17/6	
When examined..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.	17 JUN 1938	

SUBJECT:— Lucknow - Land Acqn (S. Kamara) - Mangalore - K. + Lorries - Collr's bungalow - Addl compensation - L.A.C 15736 - Sub-Court Mangalore - Payment of deficit amount - Govt - G.P.O opinion Comm'

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " _____ 19____.

READ *ALSO*:—

p1 cf No. A 3061/38 From the Collr S. Kamara Ryt C 196-37-B-3 No. _____, dated 26.5 19 38

p5 cf " 3632/38 " " Do " Do " 14.6.38 19____

p3 cf " 3670 " " Do " Telegram " 16.6.38 19____

Order ~~Resolution~~ ~~Ms.~~ ~~Rqut.~~ No. A 3061-38-1, dated 17.6.38 19____

Refer

17-8 cf

A copy of the letter of the G.P. Madras dt 14.6.38 is forwarded to Collr S. Kamara — ~~As the amount~~ ~~Was deposited in the Court~~ is ~~likely going to be drawn~~ ~~appeal~~ The Collr is requested to take immediate action on the lines suggested by the G.P. Madras and report the result to this Board.

2. The following telegram 17/6/38 is to be sent to the Collr S. Kamara — Land Acqn — Enhanced Compensation in Bungalow — Your telegram 16th — order ~~Above~~ issuing today.

Issue at once

1:45 pm

17.6.38

telegm. issued 17/6

Send telegram at once 17.6

17/6 Sd/ G.R. 17.6.

17/6/38 17.6.38 17/6

To the Collr S. Kamara
with G.P.O's opinion
(copy of pp. 7-8)

D3818

Very Urgent.

Ref. C.194-37-B3.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, dated 20th June 1938.

From



Sri Rao Bahadur M.V.Vellodi, B.A.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue and Settlement, Madras.

Sir

SUITS -- Land Acquisition - Mangalore Taluk and town - Kadri village - Collector's bungalow with compound - additional compensation - L.A.C.15/36 - Sub Court, Mangalore - Payment of decretal amount - stay of execution of decree -

Ref:- Board's Refce.A.No.3061/38-1 dated 17.6.38.

p9c7

****

The Government Pleader, Mangalore, has been instructed to file an application to-day before the Subordinate Judge, South Kanara, under order 41, Rule 5(2) for stay of execution of decree in L.A.C.15/36 pending the filing of an appeal in the High Court and a stay obtained from that Court. The orders of the Subordinate Judge will be intimated in due course.

p27s12

2. An affidavit to be filed before the High Court is --- enclosed.

M13-15-C7

For Collector.

Copy to the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore.

21.6.38

o/c

13

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, MADRAS.

Appeal Suit / C. M. A. No. /1938.

Between

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore ... ... — Appellant.

And

Rao Saheb J.J. Fernandez and others ... ... — Respondents.

A F F I D A V I T.

I, Sri Motupalli Sri Jagannayakulu Naidu, son of Motupalli Gangayya Naidu, Hindu, aged about 41 years, residing at Jeppoo in Mangalore Town, do hereby make oath and say as follows:-

1. I am the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore, my predecessor was the land acquisition Officer in this case, and I am fully conversant with all the information concerning this case.

2. I say that the Subordinate Judge has by his award in L.A.C.No.15/36 raised the amount of compensation fixed by the Land Acquisition Officer by Rs.40,104-0-0 with interest thereon from 18-3-1936.

3. I say that the Government have preferred an appeal against the award of the Sub Court so far as regards the excess ordered by it and the Government are advised that there are fair chances of success in this appeal.

4. I say that the amount of compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer, Viz., Rs.41,127-0-0 has been long ago deposited into Court. I further say that the additional amount awarded by the Sub Court is far in excess of the amount

amount sanctioned by the Government for the acquisition of the site in question and as such fresh Government orders have to be obtained for the payment thereof.

5. I say that in the above circumstances it has not been able to so deposit the excess ordered by the Sub Court within the time fixed by it and it is highly necessary in the interests of justice that the execution of the decree of the Lower Court should be stayed pending the disposal of the appeal by this Honourable Court.

All this is true.

Sd. - - - -

Solemnly affirmed and signed before me this 20th day of June 1938 at Mangalore.

(Sd)

Hosur Shiresthadar I Class

Magistrate.

SRJVAV
30.6

15

C.F. 16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..	30/6	
When copied ..	2.11	
When examined ..	30/6	
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT :— Lands Land Reps (S. Kanara) - Mangalore - Civil Supplies - Act - Compensation - LAC 15736 - Subcourt Mangalore - Payment of decretal amount - Stay - Move for Stay - G.S. Madras instructs

READ AGAIN ____, dated ____ 19____.

" " ____ " ____ 19____.

READ *ALSO* :—

A No. 3818/38 From the Collector S. Kanara Ref No. 194-37-B-3, dated 20.6.38 19____. A.D.S.P & Q.B.C.M.

" ____ " ____ " ____ " ____ 19____.

" ____ " ____ " ____ " ____ 19____.

Ref Order / Resolution Ms / Rout. No. A 3061-38-2, dated 30.6.38 19____.

Communicated to the Govt Madras Madras with reference to the correspondence ending with his letter from Bantwal dt 16-3 he is requested to move the High Court while filing the appeal ordered in Bf. 1425 Rev dt 19.5.38, for a stay of the execution of the decree of the Subcourt Mangalore in LAC 15736 and intimate the result to the Board. An affidavit to be filed in the High Court is enclosed.

H7-8 cf

H13-16 cf

29638 KG 29638

30/6 30/6

To the Govt Madras Madras with a (1) affidavit, (2) copy of his letter dt 16-3-38 at H7-8 cf

To be copied & sent

Issued

Copy to the Collector S. Kanara

KG

30/6

17 1357.8

Ref.C.No. 194/37-B.3. South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, Dated 22nd July, 1938.

Sri Rao Bahadur M.V. Vellodi, B.A.,
Collector of South Kanara,
M a n g a l o r e.

To

The Secretary to the Commissioner of
Land Revenue and Settlement,
M a d r a s.

Sir,

Suits - Land Acquisition - Collector's Bungalow - additional compensation - payment - move for stay.

In the affidavit (copy enclosed) for the stay of payment of decretal amount in respect of the extra compensation awarded by the Sub Court for the acquisition of the Collector's bungalow, Mangalore, I have stated that the Government are prepared to deposit the amount in Court. The Sub Judge has decreed that interest at the rate of six per cent per annum should be paid on the extra award passed by him from 18-3-36. Interest from that date till the passing of the orders of the High Court on the stay application has anyhow to be paid. The appeal is not likely to be disposed of quickly and it may take two or three years for its disposal. If the amount of extra award is not deposited in Court, Government may have to pay the interest on the whole amount if the Sub Judge's decree is upheld and surely on any extra award that might be finally made by the High Court. It seems to me, therefore, that it would be better to deposit the amount in Court.

2. I enclose a copy of a letter that I am sending today to the Government Pleader, Madras. I have requested the Government Pleader to file the application for stay only after

P.T.O.

hearing from the Board about the matter raised in paragraph 1 above. I request that the Board may be pleased to sanction the payment of the amount into Court at once and intimate its sanction to the Government Pleader, Madras, also direct.

W. Wallace.

Collector.

Ref.C.No. 194/37-B.3. South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, Dated 22nd July, 1938.

From

Sri Rao Bahadur M.V. Vellodi, B.A.,
Collector of South Kanara,
M a n g a l o r e.

To

The Government Pleader, Madras.

Sir,

Suits - Land Acquisition - Collector's bungalow - additional compensation - payment - move for stay.

Your letter No. 543 dated 18th July, 1938.

I am much obliged for your letter cited above. I was wondering whether it may not be improper or at least not quite gentlemanly to cast aspersions on the status of the late owners of my bungalow. But, as you say that we have to submit that there would be difficulties in the way of restitution, I am now submitting a fresh affidavit duly signed by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore, which, I hope, you will find in order.

2. Although we are applying for stay, it seems to me that it would be advantageous to Government to deposit the amount of extra award in Court. The Sub Judge, as you are aware, has asked the Government to pay interest at the rate of six per cent per annum from 18-3-1936. We have already to pay a large amount as interest and as it is unlikely that the appeal would be disposed of by the High Court for, say, a couple of years, Government would have to pay a considerable amount by way of interest if the amount is not deposited in Court. I have, therefore, stated in the affidavit that the Government are prepared to deposit the amount in Court. Naturally I must have the sanction of the Board for that statement. I am, therefore, requesting the Board to sanction my proposal and

P27 C F

P.T.O.

intimate to you direct. Please make the application for stay after you hear from the Board.

Sd./- M. V. Vellodi,
22-7-1938.
Collector.

(True Copy)

Collector.

25

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, MADRAS.

A.S. No. /38.

C.M.P.No. /38.

Between

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore. — Appellant.

and

Rao Sahib J.J. Fernandes and others — Respondents.

Affidavit.

I, Sri Motupalli Sri Jagannayakulu Naidu, son of Motupalli Gengayya Naidu, Hindu, aged about 41 years, residing at Jeppoo in Mangalore Town, do hereby make oath and say as follows:-

1. I am the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore. My predecessor was the Land Acquisition Officer in this case and I am fully conversant with all the information concerning this case.

2. I say that the Subordinate Judge has by his award in L.A.C. No. 15/36 raised the amount of compensation fixed by the Land Acquisition Officer by Rs. 40,104, with interest thereon from 18-3-1936.

3. I say that the Government has preferred an appeal against the award of the Sub Court so far as regards the excess ordered by it and the Government is advised that there are fair chances of success in this appeal.

4. I say that the amount of compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer, of Rs. 41,127/- has long ago been deposited into Court. I further say that the additional amount of Rs. 40,104/- awarded by the Sub Court is far in excess of the amount sanctioned by the Government for the acquisition of the site in question.

P.T.O.

5. I say that there are disputes between the claimants inter se as to who is entitled to the amount of compensation and in what shares. The amount awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer and already deposited in Court has not been drawn by the parties on account of these disputes.

6. I reliably understand that the 1st respondent is rather involved in pecuniary embarrassments and that thus his property is not sufficient security for the additional amount that he claims. Besides he is an old man of about 70 years and is a diabetic patient. He was very recently in the hospital for treatment. Succession to his properties is, I understand, involved and intricate.

7. Mrs. Julia Margaret Fernandes, the 2nd respondent, is of unsound mind, and her property may not be adequate security for the amount of compensation that she claims.

8. In respect of the remaining portion of the award amount there are several claimants and their rights are the subject-matter of complicated and inevitably protracted litigation in the Sub Court.

9. I say that,in case the amount is paid over to any of these claimants, there will be considerable difficulty for the Government to get back the amount.

10. I say that the Government are willing to deposit the amount in Court, but it is necessary that the claimants should be allowed to draw it only on their furnishing adequate security.

11. It is, therefore, highly necessary in the interests of justice that the decree of the lower court in so far as it relates to the enhanced compensation of Rs. 40,104/- should be stayed pending the disposal of this appeal and an ad interim order of stay should be passed pending the disposal of this petition.

All this is true.

Sd. M. Jagannayakulu

25.7.38

Solemnly affirmed and signed before me this 25th. day of July 1938 at Mangalore.

Sd. U. Kumaraya
Huzur Sheristedar
I. class Magistrate.
25.7.38

/True copy/

T.S.J. Stellus 25/7.
English Head clerk.

Pog
25/7/38.

31

C.T.16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..	5/8	
When copied ..	6/8	
When examined..	7/8	
When signed ..	8/8	
When despatched.	DESPATCHED	

SUBJECT:— (S. Kanara) Land Acquisition - Land Acquisition Mangalore Tk - Kachi Village - Coll's bungalow with Compound - Additional Compensation - Payment into Court ordered passed — Collector's proposal - approved.

READ AGAIN 5-AUG1938/h, dated ——— 19——.

" " ——— " ——— 19——.

READ ALSO:—

No. I-3578/38 From the Colr of S. Kanara Dept No. 194/37-B.3, dated 22.7.1938 Q

" ——— " ——— " ——— " ——— 19——

" ——— " ——— " ——— " ——— 19——

Order / Resolution — Ms. / Rout. No. ———, dated Ref I-3578/38-1 8.8.1938.

The Board Sanctions the payment into Court of the amount of extra Compensation awarded by the Sub Court for the acquisition of the Collr's bungalow Mangalore as suggested by the Collr.

Issue order

Ld 4/8

Lr 4-8-38

4/8/38

To The Collector of S. Kanara

" " Govt Pleader

Dept

J4014

BD OF REVENUE
18 AUG 1938
MADRAS

f.C.No. 194-37-B3. South Kanara Collector's Office,
Camp Vittal, dated 16 - 8 - 1938.

From

Sri Rao Bahadur M.V.Vellodi, B.A.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue and Settlement,
M a d r a s.

Sir,

Suits - Land Acquisition - Mangalore Taluk -Kadri village - Collector's Bungalow with compound - Excess amount awarded in L.A.C. 15/36 of Sub Court, Mangalore.

Ref: Board's Ref. I No. 3578-38-1 dated 4-8-38.

The extra compensation awarded by the Sub Court for the acquisition of the Collector's bungalow with compound has been paid into court with interest up to 15-8-38, the date of deposit - please see Revenue Divisional Officer's letter Ref.C.No. 1604-38-M dated 14-8-1938 (copy enclosed).

For Collector.

Copy to the Government Pleader, Madras.

Letter from the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore.
To the Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore.
Ref.C.No. 1604-38-M, dated 14-8-1938.

Suit - Land Acquisition - Mangalore Taluk and Town - Kadri (A) village - T.S.Nos. 320 and 345/1 - Collector's Bungalow and Compound -additional compensation - payment into court.

Your Ref.B3-194/37 dated the 10th August 1938.

It is not known if provision has been made in the current year's budget of the Public Works Department for meeting the expenditure involved in paying the enhanced compensation awarded by the Subordinate Judge, South Kanara for the Collector's Bungalow and compound acquired in this office L.A.C.No.20/35. But this is an inevitable payment and is covered by Article 30 -B of the Madras Financial and Account Code (Vol.I). I have therefore issued this day a cheque for the amount due, namely Rs. 45909-11-10 in favour of the Subordinate Judge, South Kanara allowing interest on the principal amount of Rs. 40104/- awarded in excess till 15-8-1938 - the date of payment into court.

(True copy)

For Collector 17.5.39

17.8.39

Ref 2(c)

Land - Land Requisition (S. Kanara) :

Mangalore 14 - Railway widening - C/Rs bungalow and Compound - Addl Compensation - Sanction for payment.

AS(Sett)

^ 3061/38

To AS(Sett)

In BP No 1421 Rev D. 19-5-38, the Govt Under Madras has been instructed to file an appeal in the High Court Madras, against the decree in AC 15/36 on the file of Sub Ct Mangalore. The appeal must be filed only on 18-7-38 the date on which the High Court will reopen after the summer recess. The Sub Court opens in June 1938. Meanwhile, the C/Rs reports sanction for the payment of the enhanced Compensation amounting to Rs 45-33. It is not clear why the amount of enhanced compensation should be deposited when the amount itself is going to be questioned on appeal. No such cases have come up to the Govt requiring sanction for payment of enhanced amount when an appeal has been decided upon. The question of execution of the decree cannot also arise in a case like this. Anyhow, it seems safe to take action under rule 5(2) of order 41 of the

land Procedure Code and ask the Hon'ble to instruct the Local Govt. Madras to move the Hon'ble Board in the matter of execution of the decree and to intimate the result to the Board. Before doing so the Govt. Madras may be requested to advise the Board urgently as to the course of action to be taken in the matter.

30.5.38
KG
31.V.38

31/5/38
31.V.

1 SEM

V-U

To the Govt. Madras Madras

A 3061/38 & 31-5-38 with

(1) BP 757 Rt/12-2-36
(2) BP 1421 Rev/19-5-38.
(3) one Comm file
(4) one note file.

AS (Sett) To AS

Please see the telegram from the Govt. at p3 their letter at p5 cf - and the opinion of the G.P. Madras at pp 3 ~~to 4~~ 7-8 cf which seems sound. A

7-8 cf copy of this opinion may be commund to the Govt. and he may be requested to take action as ~~instructed~~ instructed by the G.P., there being no need for depositing ~~to deposit~~ the enhanced Compensation when the amount itself is being questioned in appeal.

Draft submitted

17/6/38

17/6/38

17.6.38

p9 cf

Bdl ref A 3061-38-I dy 17.6.38

pp 11-15 cf

A 3212/38

To AS (Sett)

AS (Sett)

Please see the Govt. letter at p11 cf. A copy of it may be comm'd to the Govt. Madras and he may be requested to move the High Court, which filing the appeal as instructed in Bdl No 1421 Mem dy 19.5.38, for a stay of the execution of the decree of the Sub-Court Mangalore. As the letter of the G.P. at pp 7-8 C was not sent from this office at Madras it is doubtful if he has maintained an office copy of the letter - so, a copy of that letter may also be sent. Draft submitted

28/6/38

30/6

J.S.

29.6.38

6

M1.
J.S
A.S(Sett)
PP17-19C

[Read I-3578/38 from the Collr. of Kanara.]

A-S(Sett)

Please See the Collrs letter at PP17-19C

2/ The Collr reports that the Subjudge had decreed that the interest at the rate of 6% per annum should be paid on the extra award passed by him from 18.3.36. As the appeal is not likely to be disposed of quickly, the Collr proposes that the amount of extra award may be deposited in Court as otherwise the Govt may have to pay the interest on the whole amount if the decree of the Lower Court is upheld. Further, in the affidavit for the stay of the payment of decretal amount in respect of the extra Compensation awarded the Collr has it is stated that the Govt are prepared to deposit the amount in Court. The statement in the affidavit has the sanction of the Board. The G.P has been requested by the Collector to file the application for stay after the orders of the Board on the reference are issued to him. In the circumstances reported by the Collector the proposal may be accepted. [illegible]

P1 of

P25-29C

P27C

PP17-18C

Vide rule 3 Order XXIV
No 1/8/38 p 240 of the Code of Civil Procedure

2/8.

GO
29/7/38
1/8

1/8/38

J.S

1/8

Joint Secy.
4-8-1938

~~A.S(Sett)~~ A.S(Sett)

draft Submitted

Yam - - 60
4/8/38
8.38

9



C the ral House
Madras,
12th Aug 1938.

My dear Brislee,

Have you by chance got available in the Board's Office a copy of the judgment in the Mangalore Collector's Bungalow award proceedings in the Sub Court? I have sent my copy to Mangalore as I have been made 23rd defendant by the R D O in some proceedings which he has sta ed in the Sub Court as I was told that I had to file a statement (and engage a lawyer to boot). But I have not yet been able to find out what the proceedings are.

Meanwhile the Bangalore man has filed anothe: suit against me for about Rs 350 for the subsequent months of my occupation, July 1935 to March 1936. During this period his ~~xgx~~ share of the r ent was going to the cost of the reroofing and that cost has been specifically allowed as an extra in the award by the R D O. So if I pay up too he gets paid twice. But on the other hand Wadsworth seems to think that I had better pay up and try and bill the Government. But I am not quite sure if the special

damages for the verandah reroofing was confirmed fully in the Sub Court proceedings. So I want to look at the judgment.

Yours sincerely,



Send the copy of the file to Mr. Gaur by day for his perusal



J.S.
A.S(Satt)

Please see the D.O from Mr Gaume addressed to the secretary at PP9-10 n/f.

The following D.O reply is Submitted for approval

0447
12-8-38

My dear Gaume;

Ref:- Sub-L.A. (S Kanara)-Mangalore Tk-Kedri Village-Collr's bungalow and Compound Additional Compensation. your D.O to Braslee of ~~even~~ date.

PP9-10 n/f

I am to ~~send~~ enclose herewith for your perusal and return B.P No 1421, dt 19.5.38 containing a copy of the judgment. ~~referred to.~~ Please ~~return a copy of the judgment~~ and return the file to me as early as possible when you have found leisure

Yours Sincerely

Sd/-

H.9-93 n/f (K) (K)

Note file has been detached and kept below.

Sd 12-8-38 12/8/38 12/8

J.S

To, F. M. Gaume Esq I.C.S;
Collr of Chingleput

with BP No 1421, dt 19.5.38

Note Sydt, I Please see that the D.O with the file is sent to Mr Gaume's bungalow [Cathedral House opposite the church at Egmore] by a Sepl peon

12/8

(K)

Received at home and sent to Collr's office through the peon

12/8

RECEIVED
12 AUG 1938

13 £0530
15.8.38

15. 8. 38

Dear Muir.

I am with the Rangoon Gazette and a letter which is duplicated & sent has evidently [illegible] in post on 5001 I think they should arrive next week. Will let you know.

Yours sincerely

Will forward to D Secretariat

J.S (Salt)

A.S (Salt) A.S. (Salt)

Please See the D.O from Mr Gaune addressed to the J.S. — p13 n/f.

With regard to the letter referred to in the D.O action is being taken thereon in E Section. Note has been sent to Secy.

The file sent along with D.O at p11 c/f has been used. It is at (K).

For orders the D.O may be called for file

Sd - 24/8 24/8

25.8.38

J.S (for perusal)

Bd. Ref I-3578/38-1, 8 & 4-8-38

I-4014/38

A.S (Salt)

A.S (Salt)

Please See the Collr's letter at p32 c

Kindly the extra Compensation awarded by the Subcourt for the acquisition of the Collr's bungalow with Compound has been deposited in Court.

Paper may be recorded

Draft Submitted

Sd 26/8/38 27/8/38

26.8.38

A.S(Sett)

CIRCULATIO CHECK SLIP.

P. F. No. 2393

Dated 29.8.38

Single Member. ✓ M.

Two Members.

Full Board.

I.A | A.D.S. & L.R. | Asstt: Secy: L.R. Sett. Sep: Rev. | J.S. Secy. | J-3 | J-2 | J-1

( ) Open File
Seen by

(2) Final draft
Seen by

(3) Now circulated to.

(4) Initials.

Secretary

Jt. Secretary.

Passed for record.

~~A.D.S. (L.R)~~

A.S. (Sett). hms 6/9/38

~~A.D.S. (Sep.Rev)~~

~~A.D.S & L.R.~~

~~I.A.~~

** Note -- ENTRIES NOT REQUIRED TO BE SCORED OUT.